

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,024 09/02/2003		Gregg M. Duthaler	H-357	2023	
26245	7590 12/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DAVID J COLE			THOMAS, BRANDI N		
E INK CORPORATION 733 CONCORD AVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138-1002			2873		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)  DUTHALER ET AL.				
		10/605,0	)24	DUTHALER ET A					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	or	Art Unit	V				
		Brandi N	Thomas	2873	*				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on th	ie cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	ddress				
THE N - Externafter to the second of the sec	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no elication.  days, a reply within the statory period will apply and value, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a stutory minimum of th will expire SIX (6) MO plication to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>15 November 2</u>	<u> 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.									
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 17-27 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>✓ 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 and 28-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 17-27 is/are rejected.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Applicati	on Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the later that drawing(s) filed on <u>02 September</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the that oath or declaration is objected to be	2003 is/are: a)⊠ on to the drawing(s) ne correction is requ	be held in abeya ired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P <sup>-</sup> r No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT etailed Action.	TO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 17-27 in the reply filed on 11/15/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-16 and 28-32 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/15/04.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 17-24, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Holman et al. (US 2003/0025855 A1).

Regarding claim 17, Holman et al. discloses, in figure 1, an article of manufacture comprising: a layer of a solid electro-optic medium (130) having first and second surfaces on opposed sides thereof, a first adhesive layer (180) on the first surface of the layer of solid electro-optic medium (130), a release sheet (190) disposed on the opposed side of the first adhesive layer

Art Unit: 2873

(180) from the layer of solid electro-optic medium (130) (sections 0090, 0092, and 0093) and Hoffman et al. does not explicitly teach a second adhesive layer on the second surface of the layer of solid electro-optic medium. However, Holman et al. does disclose that applying an adhesive to the backplane is possible. It is inherent to use a second layer of adhesive to secure the substrate and circuitry to the electro-optic medium (section 0087).

Regarding claim 19, Holman et al. discloses, in figure 1, an article of manufacture wherein the electro-optic medium is an electrophoretic medium (130) comprising a plurality of capsules (140), each capsule comprising a suspending fluid, a plurality of electrically charged particles (150 and 160) suspended in the suspending fluid and capable of moving therethrough on application of an electric field to the suspending fluid (sections 0008 and 0092), and a capsule wall surrounding the suspending fluid and the electrically charged particles (150 and 160) (section 0009).

Regarding claim 20, Holman et al. discloses, in figure 1, an article of manufacture wherein the first adhesive layer extends beyond the periphery of the layer of electro-optic medium (figure 1) except for a second adhesive layer on the second surface of the layer of solid electro-optic medium. However, Holman et al. does disclose that applying an adhesive to the backplane is possible. It is inherent to use a second layer of adhesive to secure the substrate and circuitry to the electro-optic medium (section 0087).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2873

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 18, 21-24, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holman et al. (US 2003/0025855 A1).

Regarding claim 18, Holman et al. discloses, in figure 1, an article of manufacture except for a second release sheet disposed on the opposed side of the second adhesive layer from the layer of solid electro-optic medium. It would have been obvious to include a second release sheet, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art (St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a second release sheet for the purpose of forming a display.

Regarding claim 21, Holman et al. discloses, in figure 1, an article of manufacture comprising: a layer of a solid electro-optic medium (130) having first and second surfaces on opposed sides thereof, a first release sheet (190) covering the first surface of the layer of solid electro-optic medium (130) (sections 0090, 0092, and 0093) except for a second release sheet disposed on the opposed side of the second adhesive layer from the layer of solid electro-optic medium. It would have been obvious to include a second release sheet, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art (St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a second release sheet for the purpose of forming a display.

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 22, Holman et al. discloses, in figure 1, an article of manufacture wherein the electro-optic medium is an electrophoretic medium (130) comprising a plurality of capsules (140), each capsule (140) comprising a suspending fluid, a plurality of electrically charged particles (150 and 160) suspended in the suspending fluid and capable of moving therethrough on electric field to the suspending application of an fluid (sections 0008 and 0092), and a capsule wall surrounding the suspending fluid and the electrically charged particles (section 0009).

Regarding claims 23 and 26, Holman et al. discloses, in figure 1, a process for forming an electro-optic display, the process comprising: providing an article of manufacture comprising a layer of a solid electro-optic medium (130) having first and second surfaces on opposed sides thereof, a first adhesive layer (180) on the first surface of the layer of solid electro-optic medium (130), a release sheet (190) disposed on the opposed side of the first adhesive layer (180) from the layer of solid electro-optic medium (130) (sections 0090, 0092, and 0093), removing the release sheet and from the front subassembly (section 0093), laminating the front subassembly via the first adhesive layer (180) to a backplane comprising at least one electrode (120), thereby forming the electro-optic display (sections 0087 and 0093) except for a second adhesive layer on the second surface of the layer of solid electro-optic medium and laminating the article to a front substrate via the second adhesive layer, thereby forming a front subassembly. However, Holman et al. does disclose that applying an adhesive to the backplane is possible. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a second layer of adhesive securing the substrate and circuitry to the electro-optic medium (section 0087). Regarding claim 26, Holman et al. discloses the claimed invention

Art Unit: 2873

except for a second release sheet disposed on the opposed side of the second adhesive layer from the layer of solid electro-optic medium. It would have been obvious to include a second release sheet, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art (St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a second release sheet for the purpose of forming a display.

Regarding claim 24, Holman et al. discloses, in figure 1, a process for forming an electrooptic display, wherein the front substrate (110) comprises an electrode (120) (section 0090).

Regarding claim 27, Holman et al. discloses, in figure 1, an article of manufacture wherein the first adhesive layer extends beyond the periphery of the layer of electro-optic medium (figure 1) except for a second adhesive layer on the second surface of the layer of solid electro-optic medium. However, Holman et al. does disclose that applying an adhesive to the backplane is possible. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a second layer of adhesive securing the substrate and circuitry to the electro-optic medium (section 0087).

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holman et al. 5. (US 2003/0025855 A1) as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Kazlas et al. (US 2004/0014265A1).

Regarding claim 25, Holman et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a color filter array. Kazlas et al. shows that it is known to provide a color filter array for providing color to the display (sections 0077 and 0080). Therefore it would have been obvious to someone of

Art Unit: 2873

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Holman et

Page 7

al. with the color filter of Kazlas et al. for the purpose of providing color to the display (sections

0077 and 0080).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brandi N Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-2341.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**BNT** 

December 13, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER